

Inspected by Chief Ship Surveyor 17. 7. 03.

Received from Chief Ship Surveyor

VESSEL'S NAME *St. Sailing Barge "Hesky"* Report *Amst.* No. *2700.*

The remarks of the Chief Ship Surveyor are desired on this case for the consideration of the Classing Committee.

("The endorsement to contain a succinct summary of any repairs that have been required and to show the cause or causes of such repairs, and also to bring out clearly any exceptional features in connection with the case, so that the Classing Committee may have all the salient points presented in the endorsement."—Extract from Sub-Committee's Report 24/5/92.)

CLASSIFICATION.

Items.	Additions (if any) required by Rules, or as approved.	On account of:—
Main Sheerstrake . .	<i>1/20"</i>	<i>Proportions</i>
Spar Sheerstrake . .	<i>✓</i>	<i>✓</i>
Description of Framing:— <i>ordinary</i> (viz., ordinary, deep, zed, channel or bulb-angle).		

Stockless anchors were supplied for this steel sailing barge, but as they were of less weight than would be required if stockless anchors were allowed, the Committee on the 26th June decided that they should not be approved for the figure 1.

The Amsterdam Surveyor now recommends the vessel to be classed *100A "Barge", subject to heavier bower anchors being supplied.

It is submitted that as the vessel appears in other respects to have been built in accordance with the Rules and ~~with~~ the approved plans, she is eligible to be classed *100A— (Steel) "Barge"; and that, in view of her ^{small} tonnage, ^{and the service upon which she is to be employed} provided two properly tested stockless bower anchors of not less than 5¼ cwt. each be supplied, the figure 1 might then be assigned for equipment.

The Amsterdam Surveyor should, however, be informed that as the Rules do not provide for stockless anchors to be supplied to sailing vessels he is not to consider this as a precedent.

* 100 A - ("Steel") "Barge"
1 BR.
FK 2BH Cem.

