

PHONE No 35.

ESTABLISHED 1841

TELEGRAMS
"FELLOWS, CRADLEY HEATH."
CODE A.B.C.
4 & 5th Editions.

Replies by TELEGRAM must be prepaid

FELLOWS BROTHERS.
PROPRIETORS OF
BILLINGHAM & SON
PATENTEES & SOLE
MANUFACTURERS OF
FELLOWS' BALL JOINTED ANCHOR
MAIN & ANCHOR
MANUFACTURERS
ENGINEERS
IRON FOUNDERS
CLYDE WORKS,
Cradley Heath.
ENGLAND.

CONTRACTORS TO
His Majesty's Government
THE LORDS COMMISSIONERS
OF THE ADMIRALTY
THE ROYAL DOCKYARDS
THE WAR DEPARTMENT
THE TELEGRAPH DEPT
THE INDIA OFFICE
BRITISH COLONIAL AND
FOREIGN RAILWAYS
FOREIGN
GOVERNMENTS

Secretary ,

19th. January. 1914.

Lloyds Register of British
& Foreign Shipping ,

71, Fenchurch Street , E.C.

Sir,

Last month we supplied to Mr H.L. Taylor , Steam
Tug, Fish Docks, Grimsby , 90 fathoms 1st stud
cable , 2 stockless anchors , viz. first bower 6 $\frac{1}{2}$ cwt ,
and bower 6 cwt and two kedges each 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ cwt ex. stock.

These were to be delivered to Messrs Cochrane &
's Shipyard , Selby for the new vessel "Okini" .

We have just received a letter from our customers
have had a letter from Messrs Cochrane & Sons as follows :-
with reference to the anchors and chains you have supplied
your new vessel "Okini" , we have had Lloyd's surveyor here
by , and he points out while the first anchor is in order ,
second anchor , which should be 6 cwt , although slightly
in this in total weight , is not the right proportion of
weight in the head . The head of these stockless anchors should
be three-fifths the weight of the anchor , and even allowing
merely a 6 cwt anchor the head of the one supplied is
less than this three-fifths requirement.
Lloyd's surveyor is submitting the matter to headquarters in
London , and if they pass it , well and good , but we advise you
in case as it may be necessary , should they refuse to pass
the anchor , to obtain a new one from the makers fulfilling
the requirements . We will let you know as soon as we hear
headquarters' decision.

Following is copy of letter received on the 10th. inst.:-
We have word from Lloyds this morning that their headquarters
refused to accept the 6 cwt anchor which is on the board
"Okini" so you will have to arrange to replace it with
another which will meet their requirements. "

In reply to this letter we wrote them on January
as follows :-

"We are in receipt of your letter dated January
respecting the weight of the smallest bower anchor for
"Okini" . What you say about the heads should be 3/5
the table weight of the anchor is quite correct and is
understood .

W807-0102¹/₃

W807-0102³/₃

TELEPHONE No 35.

ESTABLISHED 1841

TELEGRAMS
"FELLOWS, CRADLEY HEATH."
CODE A.B.C.
4 & 5th Editions.

Replies by TELEGRAM must be prepaid

FELLOWS BROTHERS.
PROPRIETORS OF
BILLINGHAM & SON
PATENTEES & SOLE
MANUFACTURERS OF
FELLOWS' BALL JOINTED ANCHOR
CAIN & ANCHOR
MANUFACTURERS
ENGINEERS
IRON FOUNDERS
CONTRACTORS TO
His Majesty's Government.
THE LORDS COMMISSIONERS
OF THE ADMIRALTY
THE ROYAL DOCKYARDS
THE WAR DEPARTMENT
THE TELEGRAPH DEPT
THE INDIA OFFICE
BRITISH COLONIAL AND
FOREIGN RAILWAYS
FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS
LYDDEWORKS,
Cradley Heath,
ENGLAND

Secretary,

19th. January. 1914.

Lloyds Register of British & Foreign
Shipping,

London, E.C.

(Contd.)

"Reckoning the small Bower at 6 cwt the weight adopted by you and the weight on the table, three-fifths of this would be 3 cwt 2 qrs 11 lbs and the weight of the head on the certificate which you have returned is 3 cwt 2 qrs 6 lbs which gives an apparent deficiency of 5 lbs.

We say apparent because this was the weight of the anchor before it was galvanized and now the anchor is galvanized the head would be over the weight required, and perhaps you have not taken the weight at the back of certificate with additions made.

But this is not all, when Lloyds table was prepared it was well understood that it was absolutely impossible for castings for anchors to be made to exact weight and to meet this difficulty it is provided on the table as follows :- Where 2 bower anchors only are required one of them may be lighter than the weight set forth in the table provided the collective weight of the two anchors is equal to that given in the table".

We understand this to mean that this 6 cwt anchor may be $7\frac{1}{2}\%$ less than 6 cwt provided the collective weight of the two anchors is equal to that given in the table and as the anchor ordered $6\frac{1}{2}$ cwt has been sent 6 cwt 3 qrs 24 lbs on purpose to balance the deficiency under 6 cwt we contend that the anchors are quite in order and we beg to return the certificate herewith and shall feel obliged if you will acknowledge receipt.

We do not know how the surveyor has represented this to Lloyds but if he has not put it before them in this way we shall be compelled to take the matter up with Lloyds Committee direct".

Today we have received a telegram from our customer asking us to write you direct as the surveyor in Birmingham cannot overrule the Selby surveyor.

(No. 2)

W807-0102^{2/3}

Lloyd's Register
Foundation

PHONE N°35.

ESTABLISHED 1841

TELEGRAMS
"FELLOWS, CRADLEY HEATH."
CODE A.B.C.
4 & 5th EDITIONS.

Replies by TELEGRAM must be prepaid

FELLOWS BROTHERS.
PROPRIETORS OF
BILLINGHAM & SON
PATENTEES & SOLE
MANUFACTURERS OF
FELLOWS' BALL JOINTED ANCHOR
CHAIN & ANCHOR
MANUFACTURERS
ENGINEERS
IRON FOUNDERS
LYDDE WORKS,
Cradley Heath,
ENGLAND.

CONTRACTORS TO
His Majesty's Government.
THE LORDS COMMISSIONERS
OF THE ADMIRALTY
THE ROYAL DOCKYARDS
THE WAR DEPARTMENT
THE TELEGRAPH DEPT.
THE INDIA OFFICE
BRITISH COLONIAL AND
FOREIGN RAILWAYS
FOREIGN
GOVERNMENTS

Secretary ,

19th. January. 1914.

Lyde Register of British
& Foreign Shipping , London , E.C.

(Contd.)

We shall be glad if you will bring this matter
before your Committee with a view of giving instructions for
fewer anchors delivered by us to be accepted on the grounds ,
first , that the joint weight is over that required by the
specification , and secondly , if the weight of the gain of the
anchor in the process of galvanizing is added to the anchor
it would be heavy enough to meet the specification ., thirdly,
if these were not so, the discrepancy of 5 lbs as reported by
the surveyor is so very slight that we think that perhaps
we might pass the anchor on this occasion especially as we have
the best we could for our customer and in this instance
we could not send him a heavier anchor because we had unfortunately
run out of stock of a larger size in consequence of a fire
in the steel works in which our patterns of anchors were
destroyed by the fire.

We shall be glad to receive reply at your earliest
convenience.

Yours truly,

per pro FELLOWS BROS.



© 2021

Lloyd's Register
Foundation

W807-010236

JAN 20 1914

(1900)

Added to the collection
of the University of
London, 1901.