

W682-0213

# Lloyd's Register of Shipping.

Wilsonova Obala, 25,

Split, 17th. February. 1935.  
Jugoslavia.



Dear Sir,

Further to my cable and letter of the 16th. instant respecting the case of the steamer "TRECII", I beg to inform you that I have today interviewed at Sibenik the Diver, Sergeant Nikola P. Turkalj, who made the underwater inspection of the vessel at Sibenik on the 8th. August, 1933, with the permission of his Commanding Officer and in the presence of a Major Auditor, an officer of the legal corps attached to the Flotilla. I had the services of an interpreter in order to remove any doubt in regard to translation.

In response to questions, the Diver stated that he had particularly examined the propeller and could clearly recollect the inspection, and that if any blade edges or tips were chipped, the amount was not sufficient to draw his attention, while he was positive that no blade tips were broken. He added that in his opinion, as an experienced mechanic, the propeller was in sound working condition when he saw it.

I have to confirm having sent the following cable:-

"INTERVIEWED DIVER WHO STATES THAT PROPELLER ESPECIALLY WAS EXAMINED THAT NO BLADES FOUND BROKEN THAT NO OTHER PART PROPELLER FOUND DAMAGED"

The Secretary,  
LONDON.

I am, Dear Sir,  
Yours faithfully,  
*[Signature]*

Vertical stamp: "Refer to the Chief Engineer, Turcalj" and "Refer to the Chief Engineer, Turcalj".

Lloyd's Register Foundation

LONDON.  
The Secretary.

PROBLETTER WOULD DAVAGED.  
EXAMINED THAT NO BUBBLES WOULD BECOME THAT NO OTHER BUBBLES  
"IMPROVED DIAPHRAGM AND STATES THAT PROBLETTER ESPECIALLY WAS

Referred to the Chief Ship Surveyor,  
and the Chief Engineer Surveyor.

Also for Mr. Casey to note

I have to continue reading sent the following certificate:-  
probletter was in sound working condition when he was at  
edded that in his opinion, as an experienced mechanic, the  
ion, while he was positive that no damage to the tubes were done. He  
were ordered, the amount was not sufficient to give him any statement  
respect the inspection, and that if any damage to the tubes or the  
had particularly examined the probletter and could clearly  
in response to enquiries, the Divex stated that he  
regard to inspection.

the vessel of an interest in order to remove any doubt in  
an officer of the Royal Navy was detailed to the vessel. I had  
of the Commanding Officer and in the presence of a Major and Major,  
was at Gibraltar on the 20th August, 1911, with the permission  
Miklos P. Miklos, who made the independent inspection of the  
you that I have today interviewed at Gibraltar the Divex, Sergeant  
requesting the case of the steamer "MELIC". I beg to inform  
whether to my satisfaction and to the satisfaction of the Board.

Dear Sir,



© 2020  
Lloyd's Register  
Foundation

Approved by the Board

25th August 1911

25th August 1911