

(COPY)

D E C I S I O N

Apr. 5, 1928.

the matter of the investigation relative to the loss of the Steamer
100 miles off Coast of Japan, February 15, 1928.

- - -

testimony adduced the steamer CHUKY of 6920 g.t., was inspected at
California, June 22, 1927. The Vessel previous to this time had
British registry, having been built by the Blythewood Shipping
Glasgow, Scotland, 1922. Owners, Chile S.S.Co., 25 Broadway, New York,
No. 100A in Lloyds. The vessel was in dry dock at San Pedro, Calif-
ornia, December 9, 1927. At this time "her rudder was lifted and gudgeons
and three pintles renewed and lined up. A few scattered rivets were
hull cleaned and painted and in good condition. Boat repairs O.K.
fore and stern bearing in good condition. Bearing down 1/8" by wedge.
valves overhauled. A special examination of the starboard boiler and
water furnace installed and subjected to a hydrostatic test to working
and proven tight and first class job." On January 19, 1928, the Vessel
from San Pedro, California, bound for Tsurimi, Japan, with a crew of
10, including the master, 3 mates and 4 engineers. She was loaded
with California light crude, the vessel having a capacity for 70,000 barrels.
The voyage across the Pacific was uneventful until approaching the Japanese
coast when a typhoon was experienced and for 5 days the vessel labored in a
rough sea. At 5:30 p.m. on the night of the 14th of February the master hove
to. The record shows that Edward D. Springer, pumpman of the Vessel,
went to the master's room to obtain some tobacco from the slop chest, and
while there the master received a wireless message from Japan stating that a
storm was expected in the vicinity of the vessel, and the master had told
the crew that he expected to experience heavy weather that night. The engines
were slowed from 68 revolutions to 40 revolutions. Throughout the night
the vessel labored heavily, seas breaking aboard continually. The vessel was
unable to head the seas and kept falling off into the trough, with considerable
leakage. On the 12 to 4 watch February 15th the engines had been reduced
to 38 turns, and at 12:30 a.m. the second mate came down from the bridge
and told the second assistant engineer who was on watch
that the master had ordered the revolutions increased to 44 so that the vessel
might proceed. On the 14th the boatswain had directed one of the crew to put
oil on the No. 5 summer tank as oil was leaking from that compartment.
The crew also noticed on the sea, which indicated that there was a leak some-
where below the water line. The weather then moderated somewhat on the 14th
but shortly after 11 o'clock on that date the wind increased again, and at
last it was blowing with hurricane force. The Vessel was again hove to
during the night, and on the morning of the 15th the vessel was
brought back on its course, the weather moderating slightly but the sea re-
mained about the same. At about 7:45 a.m. a shock was felt throughout the
vessel as she submerged amidships, and about this time the top of No. 5
was blown off, whether by an explosion or by the pressure of the inrush
of water is not clear. The sea was immediately covered with oil and at
the same time a fire, probably from the broken electric wires. The two lifeboats
were chocks on the after part of the ship and two workboats were in davits
amidships. It was noticed that those on the bridge were trying
to launch the port work boat but it had been damaged when the No. 5 tank blew
and an effort was made by these men to launch the starboard work boat

(1)

LR

© 2020

Lloyd's Register
W675 - 0199 2
Foundation

at the flames from the burning oil being unusually high they were driven
 back, and at this time the Vessel breaking in two amidships the forward part
 of the ship was submerged until only the flying bridge remained above the
 surface. The fore part of the ship then swung around until the bow faced
 aft, and about 25 or 30 feet distant from the after part of the ship. The
 fore part of the vessel then drifted away from the after part for about half
 a mile, when the forward part was seen to stand on end and turn completely
 over so that it was bottom up. All those of the crew on the forward part of
 the vessel perished. The remainder of the crew then endeavored to get into
 the starboard lifeboat with the intention of remaining in it until the vessel
 sank from under them, and taking a chance of floating away safely, but the
 vessel raising her stern suddenly the lifeboat slid from the boat deck on to
 the deck below. The vessel was at this time on an angle of about 45 degrees.
 The men then attempted to launch the port lifeboat, which was finally accom-
 plished with the aid of a steam winch, steam having remained on the boilers.
 The boat was finally launched and 21 members of the crew got into it and show-
 ed off. During this time 6 members of the crew, apparently crazed with fear,
 jumped overboard, and 9 men went down with the forward end of the vessel,
 leaving 21 survivors in the boat. After leaving the vessel the weather being
 so rough, the boat rode to a sea anchor. The oil which continued to escape
 from the hulk served to smooth the seas considerably. In the morning, the
 weather moderating, sail was made in the boat, and the course was set at
 west by north. The approximate position of the disaster was given as Lat.
 36° N. Long. 143° East. At about 11 o'clock a.m. of the 16th a sail was
 sighted, which proved to be a Japanese fishing vessel of about 21 tons.
 The boat's crew were taken aboard and were given food and clothing. The
 Japanese vessel then made for Misaki, Japan, where the American Consul was
 notified. They were later taken to Yokohama. A portion of the crew came to
 San Francisco on the Steamer PRESIDENT PIERCE, the remainder of the crew going
 to Seattle on the Steamer PRESIDENT JACKSON. The master, with the 3 mates,
 having been lost, their account of the disaster is missing. Without doubt
 the master and his officers did everything that could humanly be done to keep
 their ship afloat, but their version of this disaster will never be known.
 The records show that the explosion in No. 5 tank did not occur until the
 vessel had broken in two, and in our opinion this disaster was due to stress
 of weather and the laboring of the ship in the heavy sea.



© 2020

 Lloyd's Register
 W675-01992
 Foundation