

STEEL SCREW STEAMER "TREDENHAM" EX "FALKENFELS"

Rule dimensions:- 476.7 x 62 x 35.75 to upper deck
x 44.75 to bridge deck

Scantling Nos:- 97.75 and 46597

Proportions:- Length = 13.33 depths to upper deck
= 10.65 " to bridge deck

This ex-German Steamer was built by Messrs. Achien Ges. Wesen at Bremen in 1915, and classed #100 Δ in 1918 with the Germanischer Lloyd*. The vessel is of the ^{two} second deck type with long poop, long bridge and forecastle, and, at the desire of the present Owners, Messrs. Hain Steamship Co., is now under survey at Cardiff with a view to classification with this Society.

This vessel is similar to the "Weissenfels" plans of which were approved on the 25th ultimo.

Plans of midship section, outline profile and decks, together with First Entry Report, have been forwarded by the Cardiff Surveyors. The information contained in these have been carefully examined and compared with the Rule Requirements and it is found:-

(1) Six watertight bulkheads only are fitted, instead of eight as required by the Rules for a vessel of the above length (for bulkhead omission see separate endorsement)

(2) The framing in the engine and boiler space and in the peaks is equivalent to the rule requirements, but throughout the holds is slightly deficient in strength. On account of this and of the omission of bulkheads, above referred to, it is considered that a few web frames should be fitted in the holds as indicated on the outline profile plan. The framing in the 'tween decks is equivalent to the Rule Requirements.



© 2021

Lloyd's Register
Foundation

(3) The centre girder is $1\frac{1}{2}$ " deficient in depth, but the double bottom is of correspondingly increased height at the margin. The material at this part is generally .02" deficient in thickness, but in view of the fact that three side girders are fitted instead of two as required by the Rules for a vessel of the above breadth, it is considered that the double bottom may be considered equivalent. The margin connections are not stated.

(4) The beams, which are supported by two rows of widely spaced pillars and girders, are somewhat in excess of those required by Table 11.

(5) The bottom shell plating is .04" deficient, and the shell plating from the strake next the flat plate keel to the bridge sheerstrake is on an average .02" deficient in thickness on each strake.

(6) The upper deck plating is equivalent to the requirements of the Rules but the second number is 597 over that requiring a second and a third steel deck, and in the vessel a second steel deck only is fitted and that $11\frac{3}{8}$ " below the Upper Deck, so that from the information given the longitudinal strength derived from the decks is below that required by the Rules.

(7) From the details of construction shown on the plans it appears that the local strengthening in the double bottom in way of the widely spaced hold pillars is deficient.

(8) The particulars regarding the equipment as indicated on the First Entry Report are generally equivalent to the rule requirements.

It will be seen from the above that the scantlings and arrangements are not fully equal to the requirements of the Rules.



© 2021

Lloyd's Register
Foundation

W630-0215

ves. e in sp.	Inch Spacing in Ship.
94	12
95	12
96	12
97	12
98	12
99	12
100	12
101	12
102	12
103	12
104	12
105	12
106	12
107	12
108	12
109	12
110	12
111	12
112	12
113	12
114	12
115	12
116	12
117	12
118	12
119	12
120	12
121	12
122	12
123	12
124	12
125	12
126	12
127	12
128	12
129	12
130	12
131	12
132	12
133	12
134	12
135	12
136	12
137	12
138	12
139	12
140	12
141	12
142	12
143	12
144	12
145	12
146	12
147	12
148	12
149	12
150	12
151	12
152	12
153	12
154	12
155	12
156	12
157	12
158	12
159	12
160	12
161	12
162	12
163	12
164	12
165	12
166	12
167	12
168	12
169	12
170	12
171	12
172	12
173	12
174	12
175	12
176	12
177	12
178	12
179	12
180	12
181	12
182	12
183	12
184	12
185	12
186	12
187	12
188	12
189	12
190	12
191	12
192	12
193	12
194	12
195	12
196	12
197	12
198	12
199	12
200	12

