

LLOYD'S REGISTER
Reed, 8 AUG. 1924
Ansd, 8

LONDON

3rd Lieut

7.8.24

Dear Mr Scott.

Grelaston

Yours of 6.10.24.

Col. Litchford's last paragraph seems to me to require explanation in view of what he says at the end of the previous one.

What I should like to know is whether the ship passed by the B.C. dippers in fact, apart from theory, from our rules in scapings of the park affected. For with reference that there was a difference in opinion between Litchford & our staff as to the effect of the increase of the upper Deck forward & aft of the bridge.

I asked Walter Rimmerman what they wanted to carry when in ballast. He promised to let me know but I think it is pretty well established that they do want to load as the Grelaston did.

Yours sincerely
H. B. B. B. B.

W 282-0130

For the Chief Ship Surveyor

A

at

8/8/74

Tom Redman

to note

The difference in thickness of plates
(BC + LR) is .04" redness

~~stress for 2 tons~~
about 2 tons

W82



© 2020

Lloyd's Register
Foundation