

COPY.

Lloyd's Register of Shipping,

71, Fenchurch Street, E.C.3.

10th December, 1936.

Dear Sirs,

E. I duly received your letter of the 3rd instant, forwarding two samples of electric cables proposed to be fitted in Messrs. Cockerill's Yard No.657, and with regard thereto I have to inform you that the thicknesses of dielectric and lead sheath of the single core sample of cable are less than those required by the Rules, and cannot be accepted.

In the case of the two core cable, these dimensions comply with the Rule requirements, but the tape used to surround the dielectric is to be waterproof, as required by the Rules. I may point out that the tape used in the samples is not waterproof.

Further, the diameter of the armouring wires is less than the Rule size, and this type of armoured cable cannot be accepted for situations where the Rules require armoured cable to be used.

In other respects, the construction complies with the Rules, and similar cables will be accepted provided the Rule requirements for thickness of dielectric, thickness of lead covering and testing of cables be complied with.

I may add it is concluded that plans of the

W167-0219(1/2)



COPY.

-2-

Messrs. Cockerill's  
Yard No.657.  
-----

cable layout and switchboards will be submitted in due  
course.

I am, Dear Sirs,

Yours faithfully,

Secretary.

The Surveyors,

ANTWERP.



© 2019

Lloyd's Register  
Foundation