

## COPY.

1 Encl.

16th November, 1903.

Sir,

I am duly in receipt of your letter of the 12th instant, respecting the case of the new steamer "OPLAND", but your remarks are not clearly understood.

Please state whether the transverse bulkhead at No. 62, which is recessed to No. 66 frame, is watertight between the spar deck and main deck from side to side of the vessel, also whether the transverse bulkhead in the tween decks at frame No. 70 (in which you state the holes in question are cut) is in excess of the Rule requirements. You should also show on the profile plan, which is returned herewith, or by a separate sketch, where it is proposed to cut the bunker coal to get at which the holes in question are cut.

At the same time I may explain that the possible approval mentioned in my letter to you of the 4th instant was based on the supposition that the transverse bulkhead at No. 62, which is recessed to No. 66 frame, is watertight from top to spar deck.

Please return the enclosed profile plan with your reply.

I am, Sir,

Your obedient servant,

Sanne Esq.,  
CHRISTIANIA.

Secretary.