

Do. of
Do. of
Do. of
Do. of
Do. of
Do. of

(3m,1,7.)

Received by Chief Ship Surveyor 16. 10. 07

Received from Chief Ship Surveyor

VESSEL'S NAME *S.S. Ladywood* Rpt. *Sed.* No. *23474*

The remarks of the Chief Ship Surveyor are desired on this case for the consideration of the Classing Committee.

("The endorsement to contain a succinct summary of any repairs that have been required and to show the cause or causes of such repairs, and also to bring out clearly any exceptional features in connection with the case, so that the Classing Committee may have all the salient points presented in the endorsement."—Extract from Sub-Committee's Report, 24/6/1923.)

Nature of Survey

First entry

It is submitted that before this case reaches the consideration of the Committee the Sunderland Surveyors should be requested to state why they have recommended this vessel as eligible for the figure 1 for equipment when according to their report the vessel is deficient of a kedge anchor as required by Table 22. It should at the same time be pointed out to them that as it was proposed in the approved rulebook section to supply three bronze anchors of 33 $\frac{3}{4}$ cwt each, these approved weights should have been entered in the spaces headed in the report form "Weight required by Table 22", and the heading altered to "weight per approved rulebook section". The weights of the stream and kedge anchors ~~should~~ required by Table 22 should also have been entered in the report. ~~As the Committee are not pleased to see such irregularities in the first entry reports, greater care should be taken in future.~~ Mr. Allan should be requested to ~~see~~ ^{in preparing his survey reports} ~~see~~ ^{in future}

Mr. Allan 16/10/07

C. H. J.
16/10/07



Lloyd's Register
Foundation

W1482-0033

PILL

WEI