

PRINCIPAL SURVEYOR FOR ITALY:  
N. G. TURNBULL.



# Lloyd's Register of Shipping.

HEAD OFFICE: 71, FENCHURCH STREET, LONDON, E.C. 3.

Recd. 28 DEC. 1926

And

LONDON

Port of Genoa (11)

Piazza Cavour, 35,

26<sup>th</sup> December 1926

SHIP & ENGINEER SURVEYORS:  
A. LAWRENCE, B.Sc.  
C. N. STUART, A.M.I.N.S.T.C.E.

SHIP SURVEYORS:  
J. S. ORMISTON,  
INDO AURELI.

SURVEYOR FOR STEEL TESTING:  
A. S. MANTELLI.

All communications to  
be addressed to  
THE SURVEYORS.

## Yacht "Flying Cloud"

Dear Sir,

I am instructed by Mr. Turnbull, Principal Surveyor for Italy to forward herewith for consideration proposed arrangement of shell plating (in duplicate) for the above vessel as submitted by the builders.

The proposed arrangement has been discussed with Mr. J. H. Barbone, Naval Architect for the owner and it has been indicated to the builders by letter that the proposed arrangement of shift of butts of the shell plating, with the line of butts running in regular lines from the keel to the Deck, is not considered satisfactory.

The builders claim that the

W1104-0220 1/2



proposed arrangement of butts of shell plating meets the requirements of the Rules, that it is in accordance with their usual practice and that they have fitted such an arrangement of butts in vessels built to the classification of the Society, quoting the ss. "FAVIGNANA" and sister vessels.

The builders, in their letter of 22<sup>nd</sup> inst, a free translation copy of which is also enclosed, submit that, in view of the special type of this vessel, excess of scantlings and stitching in the shell plating and decks, their proposal be approved in this case. Also they state that the material for the shell plating is delivered in the yard and that alteration of the proposed arrangement would ~~be~~ mean delay and scrapping of material.

It may be stated that Mr. J. H. Boulton, Naval Architect for the owner, expresses himself as being satisfied with the proposed arrangement of shell butts for this vessel.

The builders and owner representative would esteem it a favour if a reply by return could be given, as work is proceeding in the yard.

Would you please return one copy of the plan together with your reply,

THE SECRETARY, LONDON.

We are Deans Sir  
The Surveyors to Lloyd's Register  
James S. Omistow.



Referred to the Chief Ship Surveyor.

28 DEC 1925

It is submitted the plans be returned to the Surveyors & they be informed that the arrangement of bulks indicated thereon meets the rule requirements. A stronger arrangement could have been obtained by the bulks being shifted as pointed out by them - as however the material is all delivered it is not considered any further objection should be raised to the proposal.

L.R.S.

RAH  
28.12.25

to 29/12

Ltr 26.12.25  
Ans: 29.12.25 P.C. Ltr  
2 Plans



© 2020

Lloyd's Register  
Foundation