

COPY.

Enclosures.

17th November, 1921.

Dear Dr. Montgomerie,

With reference to the Steamer "ARBROATH" ex "ALEXANDRA", No. 08630 in the Register Book, I may say that the plans of this ex-German vessel were dealt with in this Office in November 1920, when it was found that, with the exception of the bridge deck through beams, the scantlings indicated compared favourably with the requirements of the Rules.

The vessel has recently been under survey at Dundee with a view to classification, and from the enclosed First Entry report and correspondence you will observe that the bridge deck beams are reported as being $5\frac{1}{2}$ by $2\frac{1}{2}$ by .38 bulb angles fitted to alternate frames instead of $7\frac{1}{2}$ by 3 by .46 bulb angle as required by the Rules.

In the circumstances, I think it well to draw your attention to this deficiency in the scantlings, as it appears to have been dealt with on the occasion of the visit made by Mr. Potts to Dundee, and I shall be much obliged if you will kindly favour me with your views and let me know whether arrangements were made for any additional support to the through beams, in view of the deficiency in question.

© 2020
Lloyd's Register
Foundation

W1081-0143 2

W1081-0144

COPY.

For your information I also enclose the plans of the vessel and shall be glad if you will kindly return all the enclosures with your reply.

Yours faithfully,

The Secretary,
London.

J. Montgomerie, Esq., D.Sc.,

GLASGOW.

In reply to your letter of the 17th inst., regarding
the report No. 2257 and Special Survey Report No. 2258
I beg to state that the enclosed enclosures are of an approved
nature. (Type) the sailing in view of the fact
has examined and found to good order. It was not
considered necessary to make the report in that form with
to its condition.



© 2020

Lloyd's Register
Foundation

W1081-0143 1/2

W1081-0144

W1081-0147