

offered his services to this purpose and to

Was a damage report made by anyone else? if so, by whom?

be sent?

DEPARTS OR EXAMINATION AS PER RULE FOR

Leakage (cause not stated)

1,10,47.

4 MAR 1949

Received by Chief Ship Surveyor

GB

Received from Chief Ship Surveyor

VESSEL'S NAME "GRANNY SUZANNE"

REPORT

Nwc.

No. 105885

The remarks of the Chief Ship Surveyor are desired on this case for the consideration of the Classing Committee.

("The endorsement to contain a succinct summary of any repairs that have been required and to show the cause or causes of such repairs, and also to bring out clearly any exceptional features in connection with the case, so that the Classing Committee may have all the salient points presented in the endorsement."—Extract from Sub-Committee's Report, 24/5/32.)

The NEWCASTLE Surveyors report this vessel examined afloat, and on hard on account of leakage into No.2. hold, and repairs (doubler) effected to (holed) and indented shell plate.

Permanent repairs are recommended at the next dry docking, which it is stated by the Owners' Representative will take place in about six months time.

IT IS SUBMITTED the vessel is eligible to remain as classed, subject to permanent repairs to indented (& holed) shell plate in way of after hold (p.s.) at next dry docking.

W.O.T.  
22. 2. 49.

As now. Subject.

CARGO BATTENS NOT FITTED.



© 2020

Lloyd's Register  
Foundation

W1032-0217

State clearly whether any and, if so, what alteration is suggested to be made in the existing classification and notification of the vessel in the Register Book this survey, thus, for example:—"to remain as classed in the Register Book without fresh record of Survey," "to remain as classed and survey, 1,38," or "to remain as classed and to have record of survey, 1,38, and the notations of ss No. 1-38."