

Sheer at Sternpost ...  $\left. \begin{matrix} \text{Stem} \dots\dots\dots 68 \\ \text{Sternpost} \dots 34 \end{matrix} \right\} / 02 \div 2 = 51 \dots \text{Mean}$   $\frac{34}{17.51}$   $\frac{34}{49}$

Sheer at  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the length from Sternpost ...  $\left. \begin{matrix} \text{Stem} \dots\dots\dots 38 \\ \text{Sternpost} \dots 16 \end{matrix} \right\} / 57 \div 2 = 28.5 \dots \text{Mean}$   $\frac{38}{19}$   $\frac{38}{49}$

CORRECTION FOR IRON  
 Proportion covered, if less than  $\frac{7}{10}$ ths length co  
 Thickness of usual wood deck, less stringer .....

CORRECTION FOR RO

COPY.

30th September, 1924.

Dear Mr. Herbert,

With reference to your preliminary freeboard report for the Antwerp Engineering Co's Yard No. 89, there appears to be some discrepancy either in the length of the erections or in the length of the bulwarks in the forward well. The raised quarter deck is stated to be 180.5' in length, and the forecastle 27' to the after end of the widehouses. As the length on the waterline is 240', this would give a length of well equal to 32.5', whereas the figure reported by you is 28'.

From an examination of the plans it would appear that the discrepancy exists in the length of the sidehouses at the after end of the forecastle.

This, of course, is a small matter which can be put right on your final report, but it will ~~of course~~ be necessary for you to see that the freeing port area complies with the rule requirements for the actual length of the well.

With kind regards,

Yours faithfully,

G.O. Herbert, Esq.,  
 ANTWERP.

accompany  
 approval, su  
 plied her

S.Y.  
 29.9.24

W1029-



© 2020

Lloyd's Register  
 Foundation

W1029-0179

s form.  
 reported is m  
 vely to water  
 should be rep