

F.E.

Chief Ship Surveyor.....

Received from Chief Ship Surveyor.....

NAME Steel S.S. "WAR CONVOY". Rpt. Ver. No. 729

Marks of the Chief Ship Surveyor are desired on this case for the consideration of the Classing Committee.

The endorsement to contain a succinct summary of any repairs that have been required and to show the cause or causes of such repairs, and also to bring out clearly any exceptional features in connection with the case, so that the Classing Committee may have all the salient points presented in the endorsement."—Extract from Sub-Committee's Report, 24/5/92.)

verse No. 83.75 Depth "d" 17.92ng: Table No. 3 page 15 Description Channels as approved.itudinal No. 34375Proportions $\frac{\text{Length}}{\text{Depth}} = \frac{\quad}{\quad} = \frac{\quad}{\quad}$ 10.7

idge Deck Sheerstrake as approved.

Intermediate BH in forehold has been dispensed with *as approved*

The Surveyors state a letter from the Owners' Representative agreeing to the omission of the bulkhead was attached to the report, but this does not appear to have been yet received.

This vessel appears to have been built in accordance with the plans and the approved plans, and it is submitted she is eligible to be classed \star 100 A.1. (Steel) as recommended.

2 Dks. (Steel).

Cell DB 368' 1274t. FPT 131t. APT 269t.

FK. Intermediate BH in forehold dispensed with.

6 BH only. pt. Cem. Lloyd's A. & C.P. P44', B115' F47'.

19. 5. 19.

It is concluded the frames in engine and boiler space are increased in thickness as required in lieu of webframes, but the Surveyor should state if this is so and also whether the stem is $10\frac{1}{2} \times 2\frac{3}{4}$ as required.

W10-0037

© 2020
Lloyd's Register
Foundation

See letter from 27.6.19