

Composite Ship "Glen Osmond"

The original report states that the Reverse iron on alternate frames only extend to the top of the bilge and not to the stringer angle iron of the hold beams as is now required by the New Suggestions and on the Midship section submitted by the Local Surveyors there is a side plate ^{below the range of the hold beams} drawn in red and stated on the section $24" \times 9\frac{1}{16}"$ but not mentioned in original report. It is necessary the local Surveyors should inform the Comen^{ee} whether or not this plate has been fitted in the ship and if so whether the diagonal plates pass over it to the bilge plates.

Can the Surveyors point out any compensation there may be in the ship or that can be put into the ship for the want of a foundation and a top plate on the Middle line Keelson as now required by the New Suggestions, and

The upper deck beams and the
hold beams appear to be each
 $\frac{1}{16}$ thinner than is now required.

14 Sept 1867 JMR



© 2021

Lloyd's Register
Foundation