

Lloyd's Register of
British & Foreign Shipping,
Liverpool, 16th December 1836.
14, Tower Chambers,

Sir,

I am directed to send you, on the
other side, a copy of a further Communication,
dated yesterday, which has been received
from Mess^{rs} Haldemest & Giltner, with
Extract from a Letter which has been received
by them from the Builders, at Richibucto,
of the Ships "M Lead" and "Andromache,"
stating the circumstances which led to the
non-survey of these vessels by M Lapthorn,
during the progress of their construction.

Take this opportunity to acquaint
you that, having laid before the Rotation
Committee, at their Meeting today, your
Letter of the 12th Instant, it is proposed
that the suggestion "that all Iron Ships
"should be furnished with an efficient
Pump, in each Compartment," should occupy

LIV 584-0007

Dear Sir,

Yours obedient servant
Robt. E. Mondak

George B. Sengling Esq.
London

Sir,

Liverpool 15 December 1856.

On the other side we beg respectfully
to send extract from a letter received
this day from Richibucto in reply to
one from us requesting information
respecting Mr. Laphorn's letter to
the London Committee, and the Builder's
view of the case being identical with
our own we shall be obliged by your
laying it before the Committee and
forwarding it with our previous
communication to the London Board.
We should wish also to call attention
to the fact that the Builders were
under the impression they were
refusing Special Survey only.

Though this letter only mentions the "Andromache" yet it applies with equal or greater force to the "M. Leod" as that vessel was built first, but was not referred to by us, as we were not aware at the time we wrote that there was any thing to prevent her classing as usual.

We are Sir,

(signed) Yours Mo respectfully
Holderness & Hilton

Number of Breasthooks 8 of Wood & 1 of Iron. Pointers 4 of Wood. Crutches 3 of W.

Richibucto 1 Dec^r 1856
(Copy)

"We are surprised that the rule
of Lloyd's should bear upon the
"Andromache" as we had no idea
it could have reference to vessels
almost finished at the time of the issue
of that rule, but only to vessels com-
menced six months or so after, so
that as the "Andromache" was well
nigh finished then, she can be inspected
now in pretty nearly the same condition
as in June with the exception of
the Poop, Forecastle & above deck
work. At any rate our objection
was not against having the ship
surveyed while building but only
against special survey, as we
could not see any additional benefit
arising from it (Special Survey) as
there were only very occasional
visits. This however will not be a
drawback in future, as we have
received orders from our W^m L^{td}.
Holderness from Hull to have
all ships built with us in future
put under Special Survey. This will
be easily accomplished now and
will be of greater benefit to us, as
by W^m Lapthorn being resident at
Miramichi, he will be enabled
to make much more frequent
visits than when the Surveyor
resided at St^l John."



© 2020

Lloyd's Register
Foundation

12.

G.

Pha

W.

208

laun

Re

for

The

eve

und

resp

metary
as Reg

PL

The

Fas

mi

Deck

take