

ved by Chief Surveyor 9. 2. 98

Received from Chief Surveyor

NAME *Im Ketch Precursor*

Report *Hull* No. *11551*

The remarks of the Chief Surveyor are desired on this case for the consideration of the Classing Committee.

(“The endorsement to contain a succinct summary of any repairs that have been required and to show the cause or causes of such repairs, and also to bring out clearly any exceptional features in connection with the case, so that the Classing Committee may have all the salient points presented in the endorsement.”—*Extract from Sub-Committee's Report, 24/5/92.*)

f Survey

First Entry

This Iron vessel was built in 1885 and has not hitherto been classed.

The deck stringer and tie plates are slightly less in thickness than required by the Rules, but are in the opinion of the Surveyors amply compensated for by the closer spacing of the frames and beams. In other respects the scantlings are equal to the Rules, the garboard and bilge strakes being in excess.

The S.S.No.3 has now been held, the plating drilled with satisfactory results as per sketch on the report, and the vessel reported to be in good and efficient condition.

Test certificates of the anchors and cables have not been produced, and the Owner does not desire the figure 1.

It is submitted the vessel appears worthy of the favourable consideration of the Committee to be classed 100A- (Iron), with record of survey 2,98 and notation of S.S.Hul.No.3- 2,98 as recommended.

100A- (Iron)
2,98 Hul. S.S.Hul No.3-2,98.
1 Dk.BK 7½: 2BH.Cem.

HUL415-0088

LR

© 2019

C. H. J.
4/2/98

C. H. J.
4/2/98

” ” ” Spacing ✓

„ „ Quarter.....Size

” ” ” Spacing

PLATES in Garboard Strakes, br'dth & thickn's
" from Garboard to lower part of Bilges . . .

hes 16th
hip. in