

Reference S. ....

*Lloyd's Register of British  
and Foreign Shipping,  
Dock Office Buildings, West Hartlepool.*

LLOYD'S REGISTER  
LONDON.

11th. November, 1899.

Sir,

REC'D 13 NOV. 1899

13/-

We have to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of yesterday respecting the steamer "CLAM", and in reply to the enquiry as to why a fee was not charged for the reinstatement of class, we beg to state that at the time of charging the fees we lost sight of the fact that the Rules now admitted of our charging such a fee. We have had previous similar cases of survey for reinstatement of class when the Rules did not permit of our charging beyond the ordinary Special Survey fee, and in charging the fees on this vessel we did the same as we had done previously in such cases, losing sight of the fact that the Rules regarding this matter had been amended.

With respect to your enquiry as to what amount we would now suggest as being chargeable under this head, we would respectfully state, that in addition to the £10 charged on the vessel for the S.S.No.3, a further fee of £15 might have well been charged for the work done, and with respect to the machinery, in addition to the £5-10-0 charged a further sum of £5-10-0 should have been charged.



© 2019

Lloyd's Register  
Foundation

HPL387-0154 (112)

We are, Sir,

Your obedient servants,

pro Surveyors,

*Mr. Wall*

The Secretary,

LONDON.



© 2019

Lloyd's Register  
Foundation

HPL387-0154 (2/2)

Referred to the Chief Surveyor  
and Chief Engineer Surveyor,

W.B.

173 NOV 1899  
for Mr Scott to  
note A.S.

Submitted the fees proposed for  
the survey of the damage repairs with  
a view to the re-instatement of class  
are fair and reasonable in view of  
the nature and extent of the services  
rendered and the Surveyor should  
be advised accordingly.

J.H. G.B.

13. 11. 99

for Mr Twinn to  
note & debit

J.M.

A.S. 13/11/99

Dr. to Mr. Hpl.  
13/11/99.



© 2019

Lloyd's Register  
Foundation