

REMARKS re. STOCKLESS ANCHORS MADE BY MESSRS. H. P. PARKES & Co. FOR
MESSRS. W. HAMILTON & Co's No. 117 S.S.

Three stockless anchors have been supplied by Messrs. Parkes for the above mentioned vessel.

On the representation of the Surveyors that the heads of these anchors were of considerably less proportionate weight than required by the Footnote to Table 22, they were informed that these anchors could not be accepted, to render the vessel eligible for the figure 1.

A letter was then received from Messrs. Parkes the Manufacturers, stating that they did not consider the requirement as to proportionate weight of heads of such anchors to be imperative, but simply permissionary. They were informed in reply that this requirement must be complied with, as is being done by all other Anchor Manufacturers, in order to render the vessel eligible to have the figure 1 for equipment.

Letters have now again been received from them protesting against this decision, and amongst other statements they make, they say the anchors in question are of "proper proportions", instead of which the heads of these anchors are only :

<i>C. g. 4.</i> 13-2-0	instead of	<i>C. g. 4.</i> 15-3-14
13-3-11	Do.	15-3-2
9-3-3	Do.	13-1-15

Again, they state that no intimation of any suggested alteration required by the Committee has reached them, whereas this requirement was embodied in Table 22 in April, 1892, *on 2 years and a half ago.*

It is submitted that, under the circumstances, these anchors, as they do not conform to the Rules, cannot be accepted, to render the vessel eligible for the figure 1.

General order 25th Decr. 1894

Ordered that the anchors be
passed in this case subject to the
written approval of the
owners, but that the
Council's decision is not to
be drawn into a precedent.

AJ

Dr: to Messrs. Parkes & Co
25/10/94 J.P.C. A.C.
to Greenock
Surveyors.



© 2020

Lloyd's Register
Foundation