

by Chief Surveyor 30.5.99

Received from Chief Surveyor _____

NAME S.S. "King Egbert" Report Guns No. 63

The remarks of the Chief Surveyor are desired on this case for the consideration of the Classing Committee.

The endorsement to contain a succinct summary of any repairs that have been required and to show the cause or causes of such repairs, and also to bring out clearly any exceptional features in connection with the case, so that the Classing Committee may have all the salient points presented in the endorsement.—Extract from Sub-Committee's Report 24/5/92.)

Items.	Additions (if any) required by Rules, or as approved.	On account of:—
Main Sheerstrake . .	$\frac{1}{20}$	Not required
Spar Sheerstrake . .	✓	✓
Description of Framing:— <u>Ordinary, $\frac{1}{20}$ thicker than required</u> (viz., ordinary, deep, zed, channel or bulb-angle).		

This vessel appears to have been built in accordance with the Rules and the approved plans, and it is submitted she is eligible to be classed $\frac{1}{20}$ 100A1 (Steel) "Steam Trawler" as recommended.

$\frac{1}{20}$ 100A1 (Steel)
Steam Trawler

1 Ok

BK $7\frac{1}{2}$ " 3BH Cem Lloyd's A&CP

S. G. M.
30/5/99

C. H. J.

It is concluded that hand pumps have been tested as required but the Surveyor should say if this is so. They should also be informed that the dimensions of the ship per Register have been omitted from the report.