



25
Govan 14th April 1875

B. Haymouth Esq
2 White Lion Court
Cornhill

Dear Sir

We regret very much that your Committee should have declined giving us a Certificate for the small Composite Steamer we have built on account of the Tonnage slightly exceeding that which we anticipated, and we believe that if your Committee are made fully acquainted with the facts of the case they will yet favorably consider their decision.

1st We calculated the tonnage before submitting the section ~~of~~ and found it to be 395 tons under deck. This tonnage was in accordance with a similar ship built by us some time ago.

2nd The person who measured the vessel for tonnage was a newly appointed Assistant

and we now calculate the tonnage from the lines
& still make it not to exceed 396 tons.

3rd The additions required on account of the
vessel exceeding 12 depths were very much beyond
those required for an iron ship & we drew
the attention of the local Surveyor to this
at the time but on account of the Margin
of tonnage being small we raised no objection.
Now ask you to allow this excess to tell
in favor of this increased tonnage.

4th Although the tonnage may be over
the 400 Margin the rule would demand an
increase on the frames & reverse frames
which we hold is compensated for by the
beams of lower deck being placed on every
third frame instead of every sixth.
The upper ^{deck (Kms)} is of Teak the lower deck
is laid fore & aft the whole of the planking
is fastened with yellow metal bolts and
with these advantages we hope your Committee
will reconsider their decision.

We are

Dear Sir

Yours truly

John Elder & Co.

© 2021

Lloyd's Register
Foundation

10/4/75
The drawings to
report - B.M.
in Master



© 2021

Lloyd's Register
Foundation