

s.s. "OAKLEY"

See letter from Newcastle Surveyors 2nd December, 1949.

It is submitted that the points raised in the Newcastle Surveyors' letter of December 2nd be dealt with as follows:-

Paragraph 1.

It is agreed that from the Surveyors' reports the cables at the time of the survey were found satisfactory, but that at least the anchors are to be verified with Certificates in accordance with Rule requirements.

Paragraph 2.

It is agreed that a Load Line Renewal ^{Survey} Certificate is the one applicable to this case. Nearly all points, however, are covered by the Classification Survey.

Paragraph 3.

In view of the time that has elapsed since the boilers were examined, and as the boilers have been lying for several months without proper maintenance they should be resurveyed before the ship returns to service. Parts of the machinery too will require to be re-examined.

The position is that the outstanding items of the survey for classification with the British Corporation, commenced in July 1948 and suspended in March 1949, should be completed and in addition a general examination should be made of the parts already dealt with. Dry docking should be insisted upon. The date of Special Survey will be recorded as the date on which the major part of the special survey was carried out, but if the Owners desire the date to be advanced to the actual completion date of the survey it will be necessary to carry out again full requirements of a Special Survey.

The Newcastle Surveyors should also be informed that enquiries are being made of Blythwood Square with regard to the payment of the accounts already rendered for the fees. If these fees have not been paid the purchasers should be informed that the survey will not be continued unless the Society receives an undertaking that the fees for the whole survey will be paid.

It is also submitted that Blythwood Square be asked to notify this Office of the position regarding the fee.

E.S. dept. Mr. Sellar
Mr. Bryden

Sub - in over
SJB

011227-011234-0070

Lloyd's Register
Foundation

8th December, 1949.

See letter September 28, 1949

The Newcastle runway should also be
 submitted that no machinery plan have yet been
 submitted for the case that as a man in a plane
 of the boiler to plan or sketch with particular
 an acquired together with particulars of
 left after a propeller diameter. *End*

too will require to be re-examined. There is no need to
 believe that the plan is correct. The plan is correct. The plan is correct.

The Newcastle runway should also be submitted that no machinery plan have yet been submitted for the case that as a man in a plane of the boiler to plan or sketch with particular an acquired together with particulars of propeller diameter. *End*

The Newcastle runway should also be submitted that no machinery plan have yet been submitted for the case that as a man in a plane of the boiler to plan or sketch with particular an acquired together with particulars of propeller diameter. *End*

The Newcastle runway should also be submitted that no machinery plan have yet been submitted for the case that as a man in a plane of the boiler to plan or sketch with particular an acquired together with particulars of propeller diameter. *End*

The Newcastle runway should also be submitted that no machinery plan have yet been submitted for the case that as a man in a plane of the boiler to plan or sketch with particular an acquired together with particulars of propeller diameter. *End*



© 2021
 Lloyd's Register
 Foundation



MS: "R"