

Messrs. N.V. Kon. Mij. "De Schelde" Flushing.

Yard No. ~~296~~ 297

1,500,10,59 Bk.

Similar Ships "ARGO OLLANDIA", "ARGO ELLAS", "ARGO CHIOS", "ARGO DELOS" & "ARGO SOUNION".

Received by Chief Ship Surveyor
GB

Received from Chief Ship Surveyor

SHIP'S NAME m.s. "No. 297"

REPORTS { Rot. No. 49417
Rot 49461.

The remarks of the Chief Ship Surveyor are desired on this case for the consideration of the Classing Committee.

("The endorsement to contain a succinct summary of any repairs that have been required and to show the cause or causes of such repairs, and also to bring out clearly any exceptional features in connection with the case, so that the Classing Committee may have all the salient points presented in the endorsement." - Extract from Sub-Committee's Report, 24/5/02.)

L. 468'-1"

B. 65'-7 1/2"

D. 41'-0"

This ship is reported to have been built under Special Survey in conformity with or by standards equivalent to the Society's Rules and Regulations and the Secretary's letters.

Additional strengthening for heavy cargoes has been provided and this may be recorded on the Classification Certificate but not in the Register Book as approved by the Committee. In the deep tanks, however, no heavy cargoes are to be carried.

IT IS THEREFORE SUBMITTED the ship is eligible to be classed:-

*100A1
DS 1,60.

CSD; 2 dks; LF at btm & U dk; EW.

O.L. 516'-9"

E.B. 65'-10"

ESD; RDR; DF; GC.

DB pt W.B. 142 3/4 t pt O.F. pt F.W; Tunnel side tanks 402t;

Tween dk tanks amids F.W; MT 1764t; FPT 114t; APT 242t.

7BH to U dk, 1 to 2nd dk; LACP.

F 50'

R.O.F: Nil

"e" 2.3/8" SQ

O.S.S. Records Dept.

to note.

P.403 Steel.

Dia. of chain cable above Rule.

INSERT IN S.R.L. APPENDIX.

(Main structure partly of P.403 Steel).

IT IS FURTHER SUBMITTED the Surveyors be advised it is concluded the length of forecastle, overall length, extreme breadth are 50', 516'-9" and 65'-10" respectively as in the sister ship No.296, as this information has been omitted from their report.

The Construction plans O10⁸ & O10² A for Yard No.298 should be returned to the Surveyors as these have apparently been forwarded in error.



124/6
See letter dated 2/7/60



16.6.60

© 2021

Lloyd's Register Foundation