

Received by Chief Ship Surveyor

Received from Chief Ship Surveyor

ESSEL'S NAME *Stl T.S.M.V CALIFORNIA STANDARD* Rpt. *Itam* No. *18656*

The remarks of the Chief Ship Surveyor are desired on this case for the consideration of the Classing Committee.

("The endorsement to contain a succinct summary of any repairs that have been required and to show the cause or causes of such repairs, and also to bring out clearly any exceptional features in connection with the case, so that the Classing Committee may have all the salient points presented in the endorsement."—Extract from Sub-Committee's Report, 24/5/92.)

1st Long
 Transverse No. *19517* Depth "d" ✓
 Framing: Table No. ✓ Description *Longitudinal framing as approved*
2nd Longitudinal No. *54289*
 Proportions Length *13.11*
 Depth =
 Deck Sheerstrake *as approved*

Revised Rules

This vessel appears to have been built in accordance with the Rules and the approved plans, and it is submitted she is eligible to be classed

✠ 100 A. I. (Steel). Carrying Petroleum in bulk
 1 D^k (Stl) 2nd D^k (Stl) in fore hold. 2nd, 3rd D^k (Stl) aft, & Wlb Frames
Longitudinal Framing; Bracketless Rystem
 Cell D. B. U. E 81 3295, D.T. 49 6955, F.P.T. 2855, A.P.T. 1855
 F.K. 12 B.H., pt Cem pt asp. Alloys A.C.P.
 B 39', F 44'. Machinery aft

It is concluded the thickness of the lower strake of the fore & aft bulkhead plating, the ~~size~~ *stiffness* of the lower stiffeners on the fore & aft bulkheads are as approved, and that three ~~beds~~ *beds* of shell side plating are ~~stable~~ *stable* riveted as approved but the Surveyor should be requested to state if this is so.

[Signature]
 29
 © 2020 Lloyd's Register Foundation