

Report of Survey for Repairs, &c., of Engines and Boilers.

(Received at London Office)

MAY - 1 1941

34271
83326
5162

Date of writing Report 15th Feb 1941 When handed in at Local Office 19 Port of Alexandria

No. in Survey held at Alexandria Date, First Survey 29th Jan. 1941 Last Survey 30th Jan. 1941
(No. of Visits 2)

on the Machinery of the Wood, Iron or Steel Screw "Yanais"

Gross 1545
Net 965
Nominal Horse Power 214
No. of Main Boilers 2
No. of Donkey Boilers 1
Steam Pressure in Main Boilers 190 lb
in Donkey Boilers

Vessel built at Sunderland By whom J. Blumer & Co.
Engines made at Sunderland By whom N. E. Mann Eng. Co. Ltd.
Boilers, when made (Main) 1907 (Donkey)
Owners S. Synodinos Owners' Address
Managers Synodinos Bros. Port Voyage
If Surveyed Afloat or in Dry Dock Afloat.
(State name of Dock.)

Year. Month.
When 1907 - 1
When 1907 - 1

Particulars of Classification (which must be inserted precisely as in Register Book & Supplements).

CHARACTER, for Special Survey Date of last Survey and of Periodical Surveys.	Years assigned now expired.	Machinery and Boiler Surveys (including date of N.B., if any).
100 A.I. 8.39	11.40	L.M.C. 8.39
S.S. Sld. 2 nd N° 3. 4.31		T.S. 11.40
S.S. Pir. N° 2. 39		BS 11.40

Last Report No. 50544 Port Pir.

Particulars of Examination and Repairs (if any) Damaged Propeller

Periodical Surveys, when held, must be reported in detail and serially in the terms of the Rules. State clearly the cause of Repairs, if any, and, in detail, the nature and extent of Examinations and subsequent Repairs. Repairs on account of Damage (the cause of which must be stated) should be separated from Repairs due to other causes; and besides being detailed in the body of the report, should be briefly summarised at the end of the report. State also the dates and initials of any letters respecting this case.

In damage cases where the Surveyor has not made a special damage report he is required to state whether he offered his services for this purpose, and why they were declined

Was a damage report made by anyone else? If so, by whom?

Did the Surveyor personally go inside each Main Boiler separately and make a thorough examination at this time?

Did the Surveyor personally go inside each Donkey Boiler separately and make a thorough examination at this time?

If this was not done, state for what reasons?

And what parts of the Boilers could not be thus thoroughly examined?

Also what special means, in the absence of internal examination, were adopted by the Surveyor to assure himself of the thorough efficiency of those parts of each Boiler?

State latest date of internal examination of each boiler.

Present condition of funnel(s)

Did the Surveyor examine the Safety Valves of the Main Boiler?

To what pressure were they afterwards adjusted under steam?

Did the Surveyor examine the Safety Valves of Donkey Boiler?

To what pressure were they afterwards adjusted under steam?

Did the Surveyor examine all the manholes, doors and their fastenings of the Main Boilers?

, and of the Donkey Boilers?

Did the Surveyor examine the drain plugs of the Main Boilers?

, and of the Donkey Boilers?

Did the Surveyor examine all the mountings of the Main Boilers?

, and of the Donkey Boilers?

Has screw shaft now been drawn and examined?

Is it fitted with continuous liner?

Is an approved appliance fitted at the after end of the shaft to permit of it being efficiently lubricated?

Has shaft now been changed? If so, state reasons

Has the shaft now fitted been previously used?

Has it a continuous liner?

Is an approved appliance fitted at the after end of the shaft to permit of it being efficiently lubricated?

State date of examination of Screw Shaft

State the distance between lignum vitae or bearing metal of stern bush and top of after bearing of screw shaft

Engine parts, when referred to by numbers, should be counted from forward.

Is electric light and/or power fitted?

If so, did the Surveyor examine the generators, motors, switchgear, cables and fuses?

Has the insulation resistance of the generators, circuits and apparatus been tested and found to be not less than 100,000 ohms?

If the Survey is not complete, state what arrangements have been made for its completion and what remains to be done

Damage stated to have been caused whilst the vessel was manoeuvring in the outer harbour of this Port on the 28th Jan. 1941.

Vessel examined afloat. The propeller, alleged by the Master to have struck a buoy was examined by an expert diver who reported that there was no damage to propeller, rudder & fittings and stern frame. Propeller blade tips were later examined by me when vessel was in tipped condition and it was found that the leading edge of all four blades of the cast iron propeller were slightly serrated.

General Observations, Opinion, and Recommendation:—The machinery of this vessel is eligible

(State clearly what alteration, if any, is suggested to be made in the existing classification of the vessel's machinery in the Register Book, consequent upon this survey, and also any alteration required to be made in the records of the vessel's machinery, boilers, working pressures, &c.; thus, for example, B.S. 9,11, B.M.S. 9,11, & L.M.C. 9,11, or L.M.C. 140 lb., F.D., &c.)

in my opinion, to remain as classed, without fresh record of Survey, subject to the Screw Shaft and Propeller being specially examined at the next drydocking on account of the said accident

Survey Fee (per Section 20) £ 3 : 3 : 0
Special Damage or Repair Fee (if any) (per Section 20.) £ 4 : 4 : 0
Travelling expenses (if chargeable) £ : 10 : 0

Fees applied for

15th Feb. 1941

Received by me,

15th Feb. 1941

Committee's Minute

Assigned

TUE. 13 MAY 1941

FRI. 13 FEB 1942

Record

No later

Survey reported

Engineer Surveyor to Lloyd's Register of Shipping.

TUES. 4 APR 1944

OMIT CLASS ON RE-PRINT

1007707-007713-0130