

COPY.

21st March, 1921.

Dear Mr. Dykes,

Many thanks for your letter of the 14th instant and your reply to my inquiry of the 10th instant. It is exactly what I expected - we have heard nothing to the contrary.

I was sorry to have to trouble you about the fees for the re-classification of the Steamers "CROSTAFELS" and "GOLDENFELS", and note the explanation in your telegram which, I may say, was received after your letter of confirmation, dated 16th instant.

In the case of the "CROSTAFELS" you hardly seem to have followed your past practice. The Rule fee for No. 3 survey is £22 - double this would be £44, whereas you have only charged £30 for the Hull. As regards the Machinery the Rule fee is £13 and you have charged £26. Had you in fact charged double the correct Hull fee the total £70 would have been a little less than we should have charged for similar work in this country, as you will see by my letter of the 16th instant. I should explain that we are anxious to secure some uniformity in these matters if possible.

With kindest regards,  
Yours faithfully,

George Dykes, Esq.,

HAMBURG.



© 2021

Lloyd's Register  
Foundation

006358-006368-0038