

Received by Chief Ship Surveyor

Received from Chief Ship Surveyor

VESSEL'S NAME Steel S.S. WATERWAY

Rpt.

Off  
Memo  
Got

No.

42973  
325  
4896

The remarks of the Chief Ship Surveyor are desired on this case for the consideration of the Classing Committee.

("The endorsement to contain a succinct summary of any repairs that have been required and to show the cause or causes of such repairs, and also to bring out clearly any exceptional features in connection with the case, so that the Classing Committee may have all the salient points presented in the endorsement." - Extract from Sub-Committee's Report, 24/5/92.)

Transverse No. 45.51

Depth "d" 12.33

Framing: Table No. 3

Description Single angle as approved

Longitudinal No. 8108

Proportions  $\frac{\text{Length}}{\text{Depth}} = \frac{11.88}{}$

Deck Sheerstrake as approved. The 3<sup>rd</sup> bower anchor is last-0925-19 lbs less than required by Table 31 but the collective weight of the bower anchors is 6 cwt - 192-7 lbs in excess of the Rule. It is stated that the 3<sup>rd</sup> bower anchor will be replaced by one of proper weight at the first convenient opportunity.  
Examination of No. 22 hatch starboard side Coaming repaired by electric welding at Gothenburg. Steel tested by Bureau Veritas Surveyors.

Vessel examined in dry dock and bottom painted at Cardiff

This vessel appears to have been built in accordance with the Rules and the approved plans, and it is submitted she is eligible to

be classed  $\star$  100-A-1. (Steel) } Subject to the 3<sup>rd</sup> Bower Anchor being replaced by one of proper weight & test at the first convenient opportunity  
1 D.K. (Steel)

Cell IB 14W 192t, FPT 56t, APT 26t  
FK, 3BH, Cem, Hulls A.C.P., P56' F20' Mchly Off  
10.21 Off

TH  
21.10.24  
14-11-21.

It is concluded the amended thickness of the upper deck stringer plate at the poop front and sketches of Rudder, Panting Arrangements & Strengthening of bottom forward have been approved in the Gothenburg Office and that the fitting of the fore-castle side plating is as required but the Surveyors should be requested to state if this is so.

