

Furness Shipbuilding Company Limited, Haverton Hill-on-Tees.

F.E.

Yard No. 363.

Received by Chief Ship Surveyor.....

Received from Chief Ship Surveyor.....

VESSEL'S NAME s.s. "WAVE REGENT" REPORT Mdb. No. 17867 & 17869

The remarks of the Chief Ship Surveyor are desired on this case for the consideration of the Classing Committee.

(The endorsement to contain a succinct summary of any repairs that have been required and to show the cause or causes of such repairs, and also to bring out clearly any exceptional features in connection with the case, so that the Classing Committee may have all the salient points presented in the endorsement.—Extract from Sub-Committee's Report, 24/5/92.)

1st Long. No. 16100 Depth "d" -

2nd Long. No. 45860 Proportions = $\frac{L}{D}$ 13.10

Framing As approved Sheerstrake As approved

This vessel is similar to the "WAVE GOVERNOR" etc.

Two longitudinal bulkheads are fitted.

This vessel appears to have been built in accordance with the Rules and the approved plans, and it is submitted she is eligible to be classed ~~ELCOAL~~ "Carrying Petroleum in bulk"

6.45 Mdb.

"Fitted for oil fuel 6.45, FP above 150°F"

Handwritten initials

1 Dk "Longitudinal framing at bottom in centre tanks and at deck".

"Part elec. welded" ✓

Cell DBuE 48' 80t, tanks in way of tunnel 470t, DTsf 50' 805t, FPT 54t, APT 157t,

FK, 15 BH, Lloyd's A & CP

P 122' B 47' F 51'

Mchy. Aft

O.L. 491.0'

E.S.D.

d ✓



115t
See letter
1.6.46

The class is assigned and maintained upon the understanding that the equipment will be made to accord with the requirements of the Rules when the present state of emergency has passed.

Insert in S.R.L;- (A bower anchor and 60 fathoms of chain cable to be supplied).



© 2020

Lloyd's Register
Foundation

It is submitted ^{W.S.} the Surveyors be informed it appears from the plan of profile and decks as built that the lengths of the bridge and forecastle for record purposes in accordance with Circular 1651 are 47' and 51' respectively, but this should be confirmed.

They should further be informed it is concluded the steel used in the construction has been tested as required by the Rules, but they should state if this is so.

X



P.C.D.
4.7.45

© 2020 *B.M.H.*

Lloyd's Register
Foundation

0053 2/2