

313. Matadian

5c.11.44

Sir James Laing & Sons Ltd., Sunderland.

Yard No. 776.

F.E.

Similar to the "CONGONIAN".

Received by Chief Ship Surveyor.....

Received from Chief Ship Surveyor.....

VESSEL'S NAME s.s. "MATADIAN" REPORT Sld. No. 34864

The remarks of the Chief Ship Surveyor are desired on this case for the consideration of the Classing Committee.

("The endorsement to contain a succinct summary of any repairs that have been required and to show the cause or causes of such repairs, and also to bring out clearly any exceptional features in connection with the case, so that the Classing Committee may have all the salient points presented in the endorsement."—Extract from Sub-Committee's Report, 24/5/92.)

1st Long. No. 11992 Depth "d" -

2nd Long. No. 35157 Proportions =  $\frac{L}{D}$  11.15

Framing Bulb angles & channels as approved Sheerstrake As approved

Dry cargo holds are arranged as follows:- One hold 40.0'

long between the machinery space and after cargo tank cofferdam and two holds 76.5' long between the cargo tank pump room and the foremost deep tank. The inner bottom plating has been increased in thickness under the hatchways of the dry cargo holds in lieu of ceiling.

This vessel appears to have been built in accordance with the plans and the approved plans, and it is submitted she is eligible to

be classed ~~as~~ 100A1 "Carrying Petroleum in bulk" ~~as vegetable oil~~  
"Fitted for oil fuel 3.48, FP above 150°F"

1 Dk "Longitudinal framing" "pt Elec. welded"  
Cell DBa 127' 232t, DTsf 83' 80lt, FPT 134t, APTs 154t.  
FK, 14 BH, pt Cem, Lloyd's A & CP  
P 156' F 112'  
Trunk between P & F  
Mchy Aft  
O.L. 431.3'  
E S D  
2  $\frac{1}{16}$ "



Equipment letter for fees: "a" in red

It is further submitted the Surveyors be informed it is concluded  $\frac{7}{8}$ " diameter rivets 4" apart have been used for connecting the poop side transverse shell lugs to the .68" side plating, but they should state if this is so.

Phoned U. A. Co. today 28.4.48

M<sup>r</sup> Gibb, the Owners Superintendent now states that the notation respecting vegetable oil is not necessary as it is covered by the petroleum notation

28.4.48

003964-003970-0195

2021 Lloyd's Register Foundation