

to Chief Surveyors 10. 4. 30.

11 APR 1930

Received from Chief Surveyors

VESSEL'S NAME

See Lc. Rosina Topic

Report

Lur.

No. 85386

For the CHIEF SHIP SURVEYOR and CHIEF ENGINEER SURVEYOR.

(In cases which have to be submitted to the Classing Committee "the endorsement to contain a succinct summary of any repairs that have been required and to show the cause or causes of such repairs, and also to bring out clearly any exceptional features in connection with the case so that the Classing Committee may have all the salient points presented in the endorsement."—Extract from Sub-Committee's Report 24/5/12.)

3rd No. 1

SURVEY PARTLY HELD.

here due

2.30

When proposed to be completed

ation of Survey held, &c..

T.S. to renew (Limit expired 2.30).

The screwshaft of this vessel was examined at Grangemouth in November last when the Glasgow Surveyors recommended that on account of wastage between the liners a new shaft should be fitted before the end of 2.30.

In a letter dated the 27th March the Owners' requested that the renewal of the shaft might be deferred until the next drydocking in June next.

The matter was referred to the Glasgow Surveyor who placed the limit on the shaft and in his reply the Surveyor stated that the arrangement to renew the shaft at the end of 2.30 should have been adhered to and that in his opinion the limit should not be extended until June as proposed, without a further examination of the shaft.

The Owners were informed accordingly and in a letter dated the 7th instant addressed to the Trieste Surveyors they write

"When the s.s. "ROSINA TOPIC" has been put in drydock on the beginning of December 1927 in Newcastle on Tyne for the purposes of inspecting her for buying, my consulting expert Mr. Ives expressed the opinion that the tail shaft should be changed at that time, whereas the Chief Dept. of the Lloyd's Register of Newcastle on Tyne did not find anything against it and approved the tail shaft finding it all right. From this declaration you will easily understand that the tailshaft appeared in good condition for the purposes of the Lloyds and that although I could obtain from the sellers the change of it without any expense on my part this has not been found necessary.....

....I shall therefore be glad if you will reconsider the above

s.s. "ROSINA TOPIC"

"matter and allow me to put the question of the tail shaft "ROSINA TOPIC" before you within June next, when, if found necessary, I shall renew it."

IT IS SUBMITTED the Owners be informed that experience in large numbers of cases has shown that screwshafts which (as in this case) are not fitted with a continuous liner, are liable to rapid deterioration, and that arrangements should be made for the shaft to be renewed or submitted for further examination by the Society's Surveyors with as little delay as possible.

J.P. 27
11.4.30.

my



© 2020

Lloyd's Register
Foundation