

5th July, 1907.

Mr. Archer,

In reply to your letter re the S.S. "ING", No. 319 in R.R. (1906-7), I find that in this case we have no freeboard report, and there is no record of having issued the usual load line certificate.

The plans were approved in 1904 for the vessel as desired, with the freeboard corresponding to the draft of 12 ft., and when the vessel was later our Surveyor reported this freeboard to be 5' 9" above the statutory line $1\frac{1}{2}$ " above wood main deck at side, which entered in the certificate of classification. Up to present we do not appear to have had any enquiry for a usual load line certificate.

If such is required we could of course, issue a freeboard report from the particulars we have.

The freeboard approved for the class is much in excess of the Table freeboard for a full scantling vessel of the same dimensions. Neglecting the shelter deck the Table A Winter freeboard with full length

002138-002150 66762

Lloyd's Register
Foundation

ers.....

ake

tom Hol

ection, is about 17 inches less than marked on the
ell's side, while the Table C freeboard would be
4 ft. 4 ins. less than marked.

Yours faithfully,



© 2020

Lloyd's Register
Foundation

002138-002150-00704
002138-002150-00711