

RETAIL

Received by Chief Ship Surveyor

Received from Chief Ship Surveyor

VESSEL'S NAME *Steel S.S. 'ALEXANDER SCOTT'* Rpt. *Mil.* No. *2921.*

The remarks of the Chief Ship Surveyor are desired on this case for the consideration of the Classing Committee.

("The endorsement to contain a succinct summary of any repairs that have been required and to show the cause or causes of such repairs, and also to bring out clearly any exceptional features in connection with the case, so that the Classing Committee may have all the salient points presented in the endorsement."—Extract from Sub-Committee's Report, 24/5/82.)

Nature of Survey

Transverse N° *36.83*Depth "3" *12.16'*Framing. Table N° *3*Description - *Single Angle.*Longitudinal N° *4604.*Proportions $\frac{\text{Length}}{\text{Depth}}$ *9.26**Sheerstroke .50' instead of .36' as required.*

This vessel was built under the Survey of the British Corporation to plans mutually approved by this Society and the British Corporation for the "Castle" class trawlers.

Reports have now been received from the Milford Surveyor, giving particulars of scantlings, equipment etc. and these appear generally to be in accordance with approved plans.

The vessel has been examined on a slipway, the requirements of a Special Survey N°1 carried out, and all defects made good with the exception of shell plating in way of spigot patch.

The Surveyor reports that the workmanship appears to be good.

It is submitted the vessel appears worthy to be classed:

100 A.1. (steel) "Stm. Trawler".

1DK.

BK 7½". 2BH. Cem. Lloyd's A & C.P.

Q72, F21.

Subject to plating in way of spigot patch being dealt with.

Date of Build *9.17.*

S.S. Mil. N°1-20.

7.20 Mil.

This concluded the visiting in sheerstroke is as required but the Surveyor should be requested to state if this is so, as this has been omitted from the report.

002024-002037-0117