

Report of Survey for Repairs, &c., of Engines and Boilers.

(Received at London Office)

FRI. 13 JUL. 1923

Date of writing Report	July 12 th	10 23	When handed in at Local Office	12-7 10 23	Port of HULL
No. in Reg. Book.	Survey held at	Hull	Date, First Survey	5-7-23	Last Survey July 6 th 1923
15913	on the Machinery of the Wood, Iron or Steel Sc.	"LIZZIE & ANNIE"	(No. of Surveys)	3	
Tonnage { Gross 119 Net 65	Vessel built at North Shields	By whom J. Soffley & Sons	When 1877	6	
Registered Horse Power 27	Engines made at Newbury	By whom Mentry & Sons Ltd.	When 1913		
No. of Main Boilers	Boilers, when made (Main)	(Donkey) -			
No. of Donkey Boilers	Owners G. F. Bisch & Sons (1919)	Port Hull	Voyage		
Steam Pressure in Main Boilers	Surveyed Afloat in Dry Dock Queen's Wharf				
in Donkey Boilers	(State name of Dock.)				

Last Report No. 33361 Port HUL

Particulars of Examination and Repairs (if any) Annual Linc.

(Periodical Surveys, when held, must be reported in detail and serially in the terms of the Rules. State clearly the cause of Repairs, if any, and, in detail, the nature and extent of Examinations and subsequent Repairs. Repairs on account of Damage (the cause of which must be stated) should be separated from Repairs due to other causes; and besides being detailed in the body of the report, should be briefly summarised at the end of the report. State also the dates and initials of any letters respecting this case.)

- In damage cases where the Surveyor has not made a special damage report he is required to state whether he offered his services for this purpose, and why they were declined? ✓ Was a damage report made by anyone else? If so, by whom? ✓
- Did the Surveyor personally go inside each Main Boiler separately and make a thorough examination at this time? ✓
- Do. " Donkey " " " ✓
- If this was not done, state for what reasons? ✓
- And what parts of the Boilers could not be thus thoroughly examined? ✓
- Also what special means, in the absence of internal examination, were adopted by the Surveyor to assure himself of the thorough efficiency of those parts of each Boiler? ✓
- Did the Surveyor examine the Safety Valves of the Main Boiler? ✓ To what pressure were they afterwards adjusted under steam? ✓
- Did the Surveyor examine the Safety Valves of Donkey Boiler? ✓ To what pressure were they afterwards adjusted under steam? ✓
- Did the Surveyor examine all the manholes, doors and their fastenings of the Main Boilers? ✓ , and of the Donkey Boiler? ✓
- Did the Surveyor examine the drain plugs of the Main Boilers? ✓ , and of the Donkey Boiler? ✓
- Did the Surveyor examine all the mountings of the Main Boilers? ✓ , and of the Donkey Boiler? ✓
- Has screw shaft now been drawn and examined? ✓ Is it fitted with continuous liner? ✓ Is an approved appliance fitted at the after end of the shaft to permit of it being efficiently lubricated? ✓
- Has shaft now been changed? ✓ If so, state reasons ✓
- Is the shaft now fitted new? ✓ Has it a continuous liner? ✓ Is an approved appliance fitted at the after end of the shaft to permit of it being efficiently lubricated? ✓
- State the distance between lignum vitae or bearing metal of stern bush and top of after bearing of screw shaft? ✓

If the Survey is not complete state what arrangements have been made for its completion and what remains to be done? Complete.

Please see classing letter of 5/6/23, in which the record of L.M.C. 4-22 is assigned upon Hull Reports 33361 and 34294.

Hardware:- Cylinders, pistons, connecting rods, crank + thrust shafts, inlet + exhaust valves, clutch, reversing gear + pumps all examined + found good. Fuel tanks + connections found in good order, and it was not considered necessary to test these.

Engines tried under working conditions + found good.

General Observations, Opinion, and Recommendation:—The oil engines of this vessel (State clearly what alteration, if any, is suggested to be made in the existing classification of the vessel's machinery in the Register Book consequent upon this survey, and also any alteration required to be made in the records of the vessel's machinery, boilers, working pressures, &c.; thus for example, B.S. 9,11, B.&M.S. 9,11, or $\frac{1}{2}$ L.M.C. 9,11, 140 lb., F.D., &c.) as now seen are in good + efficient condition, and eligible in my opinion to remain as classified and to have fresh record of L.M.C. 4-23.

Survey Fee (per Section 25)

£ 2 . 10 .

Fees applied for

12-7 10 23

Special Damage or Repair Fee (if any)
(per Section 25)

W.R.

Travelling Expenses (if chargeable)

Dated by me

10/23

Committee's Minute

THE JULY 17 1923

Assigned

L.M.C. 7.23 MY

CERTIFICATE

WRITER. 7-23

John Thackeray,
Engineer Surveyor to Lloyd's Register of Shipping.

© 2018

Lloyd's Register
Foundation

Bureau Bureau of Navigation
Dec 4 23, 1911.

It is submitted that
this vessel is eligible for
THE RECORD. 2 M.C. 1911

N.B.—If this Report is copied by Copying Press, especial care must be taken that the copying paper is not so much dampened as to smudge the ink, or to cause it to show through to the other side.

G. J.
16.7.22

* THE SURVEYORS ARE REQUESTED NOT TO WRITE ACROSS THE MARGIN.



© 2018

Lloyd's Register
Foundation